DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS OVERSIGHT

Ensuring a Fair and Secure Financial Services Marketplace for all Californians



Jan Lynn Owen Commissioner of Business Oversight

April 5, 2018
Re: – Interpretive Opinion Request
Dear:
Thank you for your letter to the Commissioner of Business Oversight, dated February 21, 2017, on behalf of (""). As Counsel for the Legal Division, I have been asked to respond. You request confirmation from the Department of Business Oversight ("Department") that a payment processing service offered by ("the Service") satisfies the requirements of the agent of payee exemption under the California Money Transmission Act ("MTA;" Fin. Code § 2000 et seq.).
BACKGROUND
represents that it will provide payment processing services to payment and commerce platforms, which will be used by merchants and customers of merchants. You explain that merchants will integrate payment or commerce platforms into the merchant's point-of-sale terminals, mobile applications or websites. Customers of these merchants will then enroll with the payment system or platform to make a purchase from the merchant. You state that intends to contract with merchants to provide merchants with settlement and processing services for payments made by the customers of merchants through these payment or commerce platforms. You note that some of the payment and commerce platforms are affiliates of You have asked for the Department to confirm that this service satisfies the agent of payee exemption from MTA licensing requirements.
An entity that engages in money transmission in California must obtain a license from the Department, unless an exemption applies. (Fin. Code § 2030.) Financial Code section 2010.

1515 K Street, Suite 200 Sacramento, CA 95814-4052 (916) 445-7205

One Sansome Street, Suite 600 San Francisco, CA 94104-4428 (415) 972-8565 320 West 4th Street, Suite 750 Los Angeles, CA 90013-2344 (213) 576-7500

300 S. Spring Street, Suite 15513 Los Angeles, CA 90013 (213) 897-2085 San Diego, CA 92101-3697 (619) 525-4233 7575 Metropolitan Drives Suite 108

1350 Front Street, Room 2034

April 5, 2	018
Page 2	

subdivision (l) provides an exemption from the MTA for transactions where the recipient of money or monetary value is an agent of the payee, provided that the agent and payee have a preexisting written contract which establishes that delivery of money or monetary value to the agent satisfies the payor's obligation to the payee. "Payee" is defined as the provider of goods or services, to whom payment of money is owed, and "payor" is defined as the recipient of goods or services, who owes payment to the payee.

recipient of goods or services, who owes payment to the payee.
You argue that the service offered by satisfies the agent of payee exemption, and ask the Department to confirm your interpretation of the agent of payee exemption.
<u>ANALYSIS</u>
The Department intends to introduce a proposed rulemaking concerning the agent of payee exemption in the near future. While the Department engages in that process, we decline to opine on the applicability of the exemption to's proposed payment activity. However, based on the information has provided, the Department will not require to be licensed under the MTA while the rulemaking is pending.
The temporary dispensation we are granting to, however, is based on two assumptions. If either assumption is incorrect, then that dispensation would not apply.
The first assumption is that the payment or commerce platforms that precede in the flow of funds are in compliance with their own licensing obligations. To the extent that those platforms are neither licensed nor exempt from licensing, then may not perform transmission services for or with such companies. (Fin.
Code, § 2062.)
The second assumption is that the payment or commerce platforms that precede in the flow of funds are not themselves relying on the agent of payee exemption. The contract you submitted appears to permit a payment model in which both and a platform provider act as agents of the merchant. Under that model,
payment would flow from the customer to the platform provider to to the
merchant. The language of Section 2010(l) requires that payment to an agent satisfy the payor's obligation to the payee. The payor's obligation cannot be extinguished twice; if payment to the platform provider satisfies the customer's obligation to the merchant, then

payment to _____ cannot also satisfy the customer's obligation to the merchant. The agent of payee exemption is <u>not</u> available to _____ if both ____ and the platform provider are appointed agents of the payee. This would be true regardless

of the outcome of the proposed rulemaking mentioned above.

April 5, 2018 Page 3		

The conclusions expressed in this letter are based on the facts and circumstances as presented by you in your request to the Department. The Department reserves the right to revisit any conclusions under other facts or changed circumstances.

Please contact the undersigned at ______ should you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jan Lynn Owen Commissioner of Business Oversight

By

Alexander M. Nourafshan Counsel